

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



55

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,201	03/24/2000	David R. Larsen	4760	2945
758 75	90 08/09/2002			
FENWICK & WEST LLP		EXAMINER		
TWO PALO ALTO SQUARE PALO ALTO, CA 94306			KRAMER, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	-
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
<b>•</b>	· -	09/534,201	LARSEN, DAVID R.
_	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·		James A. Kramer	3627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejon.  It is a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute. cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u on of Claims	allowance except for formal mattender Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	·
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12)	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received in Ap	plication No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
14) 🔲 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application
a	☐ The translation of the foreign languag acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has bee	en received.
ttachmen	(s)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) , , _ 5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tr O-326 (Re	ademark Office V. 04-01) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/534,201

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 10-11, 13-16, 18-26, 30-31, 33-35, 37-43, 46-47, 49-51, and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention. DISC RECONPlus as discussed in the article "Reconciling accounts the automated way" by Kreminec.

DISC RECON-Plus is a computer based bank system for reconciling investment, financial or accounting transactions. DISC RECON-Plus obtains first and second information, from remote sites or from a storage device (or a combination of the two) and matches transactions on a one-to-one basis. The software then takes all unmatched transactions and matches combinations of transactions or multiple transactions (it is inherent that this can only be done if there with more than one transaction in the list(s) of transactions that is(are) going to be combined) that would otherwise cause the transactions to be tagged as unmatched and require manual research (paragraph 16). It is inherent to the system that the combination of transactions amounts to a sum that then used for reconciliation (i.e. add the value of the checks to match them with the reconciliation data from the financial institution). It is also inherent that the system utilizes a recursive submethod to match the transaction values. There is no other method to reconcile a large number of records, then to perform some reconciliation function/method repeatedly until every check or combination of checks is matched or tagged as "unmatched".

Art Unit: 3627

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12, 16-17, 20, 27-31, 35, 44-47, 50-51, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the invention DISC RECON-Plus in view of Dunn et al.

The DISC RECON-Plus system (describe above) does not specifically mention grouping transaction data by date. Dunn et al. teaches a computer aided reconciliation method and apparatus that uses the date as one of the significant pieces of information for reconciling two lists of transactions. It would have been obvious to anyone skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the date criteria similar to the system of Dunn et al. with the system of DISC RECON-Plus since the date is a standard piece of information known and used by all parties and would greatly ease the reconciliation process.

The DISC RECON-Plus system (described above) does not specifically teach a recursive submethod for reconciling transactions. Dunn et al. teaches a recursive submethod (reference Figures) to perform reconciliation. It would have been obvious to anyone skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a recursive submethod, such as is done in Dunn et al. to perform the reconciliation in DISC RECON-Plus in order to increase the efficiency and speed of the reconciliation process.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,201

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 12, 17, 32, 36, 48, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the product DISC RECON-Plus in view Dunn et al. and in further view of applicant's disclosure.

DISC RECON-Plus system in view of Dunn et al. (described above) does not disclose the specific steps used in the recursive submethod for reconciling many-to-many or one-to-many transactions. In particular it does not teach the following steps: the system, responsive to none of the values of transactions in the second input parameter equaling the first input parameter, performing the steps of selecting a transaction in the second input parameter, subtracting the value of the second parameter from the first parameter, using this modified new parameter to perform the recursive submethod once again on the second list minus the selected transaction and repeating until a match is either found or not.

The applicant discloses manual systems for matching one-to-many or many-to-many transactions (page 5, line 2-4). It is known in the art to manually perform the steps described above in a recursive submethod to reconcile transactions in a one-to-many or many-to-many situation. It would have been obvious to anyone skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use this recursive submethod known in the art and typically practiced manually as described by applicant in the DISC RECON-Plus system in view of Dunn et al. to quickly and efficiently reconcile transactions in an automated many-to-many or one-to-many situation.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9123 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

James Kramer

Patent Examiner

July 25, 2002

Kenneth R. Rice Primary Examiner